## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	,
Plaintiff,	) 8:06CR307 )
vs.	) DETENTION ORDER
BRENDA BORDEAUX,	
Defendant.	<b>,</b>
A. Order For Detention After waiving a detention he Act on September 28, 2006 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 314	aring pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform the Court orders the above-named defendant detained 2(e) and (i).
X By a preponderand conditions will reason X By clear and convinc	The Detention ant's detention because it finds: of the evidence that no condition or combination of ably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. g evidence that no condition or combination of conditions at the safety of any other person or the community.
contained in the Pretrial Se  X (1) Nature and circumation X (a) The crimal distribute carries a maximum methampe each carries (b) The offer (c) The offer (d) The offer (d) The offer (d)	sed on the evidence which was presented in court and vices Report, and includes the following: instances of the offense charged:  : a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to methamphetamine (Count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 minimum sentence of five years imprisonment and a of forty years imprisonment; the distribution of etamine (Count II) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) a maximum sentence of twenty years imprisonment. e is a crime of violence. e involves a narcotic drug.
X (3) The history and (a) General II r r r r r r	evidence against the defendant is high. haracteristics of the defendant including: actors: ne defendant appears to have a mental condition which ay affect whether the defendant will appear. ne defendant has no family ties in the area. ne defendant has no steady employment. ne defendant has no substantial financial resources. ne defendant is not a long time resident of the community. ne defendant does not have any significant community is. ast conduct of the defendant: ne defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. ne defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. ne defendant has a significant prior criminal record. ne defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at ourt proceedings. of the current arrest, the defendant was on:

DETENTION ORDER	- Page 2
(c)	Probation Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.  Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
releas	nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's e are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment and the dant's drug abuse history.
In dete on the which X (a)	ermining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:

D. Additional Directives
 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from

## **DETENTION ORDER - Page 3**

- persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
- 4. Pretrial Services shall obtain a substance abuse evaluation and provide a report to the court with a copy to counsel.

DATED: September 28, 2006. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge